

# Cambridge International AS & A Level

---

**LAW**

**9084/43**

Paper 4

**May/June 2024**

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 75

---

**Published**

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2024 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

---

This document consists of **14** printed pages.

**Generic Marking Principles**

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptions for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:**

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:**

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:**

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:**

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:**

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:**

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

**Social Science-Specific Marking Principles  
(for point-based marking)****1 Components using point-based marking:**

- Point marking is often used to reward knowledge, understanding and application of skills. We give credit where the candidate's answer shows relevant knowledge, understanding and application of skills in answering the question. We do not give credit where the answer shows confusion.

From this it follows that we:

- a DO credit answers which are worded differently from the mark scheme if they clearly convey the same meaning (unless the mark scheme requires a specific term)
- b DO credit alternative answers/examples which are not written in the mark scheme if they are correct
- c DO credit answers where candidates give more than one correct answer in one prompt/numbered/scaffolded space where extended writing is required rather than list-type answers. For example, questions that require  $n$  reasons (e.g. State two reasons ...).
- d DO NOT credit answers simply for using a 'key term' unless that is all that is required. (Check for evidence it is understood and not used wrongly.)
- e DO NOT credit answers which are obviously self-contradicting or trying to cover all possibilities
- f DO NOT give further credit for what is effectively repetition of a correct point already credited unless the language itself is being tested. This applies equally to 'mirror statements' (i.e. polluted/not polluted).
- g DO NOT require spellings to be correct, unless this is part of the test. However spellings of syllabus terms must allow for clear and unambiguous separation from other syllabus terms with which they may be confused (e.g. Corrasion/Corrosion)

**2 Presentation of mark scheme:**

- Slashes (/) or the word 'or' separate alternative ways of making the same point.
- Semi colons (;) bullet points (•) or figures in brackets (1) separate different points.
- Content in the answer column in brackets is for examiner information/context to clarify the marking but is not required to earn the mark (except Accounting syllabuses where they indicate negative numbers).

**3 Calculation questions:**

- The mark scheme will show the steps in the most likely correct method(s), the mark for each step, the correct answer(s) and the mark for each answer
- If working/explanation is considered essential for full credit, this will be indicated in the question paper and in the mark scheme. In all other instances, the correct answer to a calculation should be given full credit, even if no supporting working is shown.
- Where the candidate uses a valid method which is not covered by the mark scheme, award equivalent marks for reaching equivalent stages.
- Where an answer makes use of a candidate's own incorrect figure from previous working, the 'own figure rule' applies: full marks will be given if a correct and complete method is used. Further guidance will be included in the mark scheme where necessary and any exceptions to this general principle will be noted.

**4 Annotation:**

- For point marking, ticks can be used to indicate correct answers and crosses can be used to indicate wrong answers. There is no direct relationship between ticks and marks. Ticks have no defined meaning for levels of response marking.
- For levels of response marking, the level awarded should be annotated on the script.
- Other annotations will be used by examiners as agreed during standardisation, and the meaning will be understood by all examiners who marked that paper.

**Guidance on using levels-based mark schemes**

Marking of work should be positive, rewarding achievement where possible, but clearly differentiating across the whole range of marks, where appropriate.

The marker should look at the work and then make a judgement about which level statement is the best fit. In practice, work does not always match one level statement precisely so a judgement may need to be made between two or more level statements.

Once a best-fit level statement has been identified, use the following guidance to decide on a specific mark:

- If the candidate's work **convincingly** meets the level statement, award the highest mark.
- If the candidate's work **adequately** meets the level statement, award the most appropriate mark in the middle of the range (where middle marks are available).
- If the candidate's work **just** meets the level statement, award the lowest mark.

**Assessment objectives****AO1 Knowledge and understanding**

- Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of legal concepts, principles and rules.
- Use statutes, cases, examples and legal terminology.


**AO2 Analysis and application**

- Analyse legal concepts, principles and rules.
- Apply legal concepts, principles and rules.

**AO3 Evaluation**

- Evaluate legal concepts, principles and rules.
- Communicate legal argument coherently on the basis of evidence.

**Annotations and their Use**

| <b>Annotation</b>   | <b>Use</b>  |
|---|---|
| ✓   | Used to indicate relevant and rewardable content.                                 |
| X   | Used to indicate that content is inaccurate or incorrect.                         |
| NAQ   | Used when the answer or parts of the answer are not answering the question asked. |
| BOD   | Used when the benefit of the doubt is given in order to reward a response.        |
| EVAL  | Used to indicate where the answer has demonstrated evaluation                     |
| REP   | Indicates where content has been repeated.  |
| SEEN  | Indicates that content has been recognised but not rewarded.                      |
| ?   | Indicates material which is not sufficiently clear to be rewarded.                |
|  | Indicates material which is not relevant as a response to the question asked.     |

**Section A****Table A**

Use this table to give marks for each candidate response for **Questions 1 and 2**.

| <b>Level</b> | <b>AO1 Knowledge and understanding<br/>12 marks</b>   | <b>AO2 Analysis and application<br/>5 marks</b>   | <b>AO3 Evaluation<br/>8 marks</b>  |
|--------------|---|---|--|
|              | <b>Description</b>  | <b>Description</b>  | <b>Description</b>   |
| <b>4</b>     | <b>10–12 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accurate and detailed in most relevant areas.</li> <li>Thorough knowledge and understanding of the most appropriate legal concepts, principles and rules, key examples, cases and/or statutory authority, and legal terminology.</li> </ul> |   |  |
| <b>3</b>     | <b>7–9 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mostly accurate but may not be detailed in some relevant areas.</li> <li>Good knowledge and understanding of appropriate legal concepts, principles and rules, examples, cases and/or statutory authority, and legal terminology.</li> </ul>  | <b>4–5 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mostly focused and reasoned application throughout.</li> <li>The application is supported by effective and well-developed use of legal concepts, principles and rules, key examples, cases and/or statutory authority.</li> </ul> | <b>6–8 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mostly focused and reasoned evaluation of all the relevant issues.</li> <li>Effectively supported by relevant material.</li> <li>Coherent argument.</li> </ul> |
| <b>2</b>     | <b>4–6 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some accuracy but lacks detail in relevant areas.</li> <li>Some knowledge and understanding of mostly appropriate legal concepts, principles and rules, examples, cases and/or statutory authority, and legal terminology.</li> </ul>         | <b>2–3 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some reasoned application.</li> <li>The application is supported by some partially developed use of legal concepts, principles and rules, examples, cases and/or statutory authority.</li> </ul>                                  | <b>3–5 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some evaluation, reasoned at times, of some of the relevant issues.</li> <li>Supported by some relevant material.</li> <li>Some coherent argument.</li> </ul>  |

| <b>Level</b> | <b>AO1 Knowledge and understanding<br/>12 marks</b>   | <b>AO2 Analysis and application<br/>5 marks</b>  | <b>AO3 Evaluation<br/>8 marks</b>  |
|--------------|---|--|--|
| <b>1</b>     | <b>1–3 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited accuracy.</li> <li>Limited knowledge and understanding of legal concepts, principles and rules, examples, cases and/or statutory authority, and legal terminology.</li> </ul> | <b>1 mark</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited application.</li> <li>The application is supported by limited use or makes no use of legal concepts, principles and rules, examples, cases and/or statutory authority.</li> </ul> | <b>1–2 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited evaluation of a relevant issue.</li> <li>Limited or no use of relevant material.</li> <li>Limited or no argument.</li> </ul> |
| <b>0</b>     | <b>0 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No creditable content.</li> </ul>   | <b>0 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No creditable content.</li> </ul>  | <b>0 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No creditable content.</li> </ul>  |

| Question | Answer  | Marks |
|----------|---|-------|
| 1        | <p><b>Advise the parties of their rights, responsibilities and potential remedies.</b></p> <p>Use Table A to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>AO1 out of 12 marks.<br/>AO2 out of 5 marks.<br/>AO3 out of 8 marks.</p> <p><b>Indicative content</b><br/>Responses may include:</p> <p>Tony and XYZ</p> <p><b>AO1 Knowledge and understanding</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify issue of negligent misstatement.</li> <li>• Explain requirements for a duty of care in relation to negligent misstatement.</li> <li>• Explain standard of care in relation to a professional.</li> <li>• Explain the elements of causation and remoteness.</li> <li>• Explain potential remedy.</li> </ul> <p><b>AO2 Analysis and application and AO3 Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Analyse whether duty of care is established.</li> <li>• Assess whether duty was breached.</li> <li>• Assess whether the breach caused the damage.</li> <li>• Comment on the losses which are recoverable.</li> </ul> <p>Tony and Jane</p> <p><b>AO1 Knowledge and understanding</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify issue of vicarious liability.</li> <li>• Explain the rules governing the identification of the employment relationship.</li> <li>• Explain the rules governing the scope of employment.</li> </ul> <p><b>AO2 Analysis and application and AO3 Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assess whether Jane is an employee.</li> <li>• Analyse whether Jane was acting within the scope of her employment.</li> <li>• Assess whether Jane is liable for the breach of duty.</li> <li>• Comment on the losses which are recoverable.</li> </ul> <p>Accept all valid responses.</p> | 25    |
|          | AO1   | 12    |
|          | AO2   | 5     |
|          | AO3   | 8     |



| Question | Answer  | Marks |
|----------|---|-------|
| 2        | <p><b>Advise the parties of their rights, responsibilities and potential remedies.</b></p> <p>Use Table A to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>AO1 out of 12 marks.<br/>AO2 out of 5 marks.<br/>AO3 out of 8 marks.</p> <p><b>Indicative content</b><br/>Responses may include:</p> <p>Franco and Maria</p> <p><b>AO1 Knowledge and understanding</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explain the elements of trespass to the person.</li> <li>• Explain the elements required to establish a claim of assault.</li> <li>• Explain the elements required to establish a claim of battery.</li> <li>• Explain possible remedies.</li> </ul> <p><b>AO2 Analysis and application and AO3 Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assess whether Maria’s actions constitute an assault.</li> <li>• Assess whether Maria has committed a battery against Franco.</li> <li>• Assess whether Franco has committed an assault against Maria.</li> <li>• Discuss possible remedies.</li> </ul> <p>Maria and Terry</p> <p><b>AO1 Knowledge and understanding</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify the issue of trespass to the person.</li> <li>• Explain the issue of false imprisonment.</li> <li>• Identify possible defences.</li> <li>• Identify possible remedies.</li> </ul> <p><b>AO2 Analysis and application and AO3 Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Analyse whether Maria has had her movement restricted.</li> <li>• Analyse whether an awareness of restraint of movement is established.</li> <li>• Assess whether Maria has been falsely imprisoned.</li> <li>• Assess whether any defences are available.</li> <li>• Consider the issue of an appropriate remedy.</li> </ul> <p>Accept all valid responses.</p> | 25    |
|          | AO1   | 12    |
|          | AO2   | 5     |
|          | AO3   | 8     |

**Section B****Table B**

Use this table to give marks for each candidate response for **Questions 3, 4 and 5**.

| <b>Level</b> | <b>AO1 Knowledge and understanding<br/>12 marks</b>   | <b>AO2 Analysis and application<br/>5 marks</b>   | <b>AO3 Evaluation<br/>8 marks</b>  |
|--------------|---|---|--|
|              | <b>Description</b>  | <b>Description</b>  | <b>Description</b>   |
| <b>4</b>     | <b>10–12 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accurate and detailed in most relevant areas.</li> <li>Thorough knowledge and understanding of the most appropriate legal concepts, principles and rules, key examples, cases and/or statutory authority, and legal terminology.</li> </ul> |   |  |
| <b>3</b>     | <b>7–9 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mostly accurate but may not be detailed in some relevant areas.</li> <li>Good knowledge and understanding of appropriate legal concepts, principles and rules, examples, cases and/or statutory authority, and legal terminology.</li> </ul>  | <b>4–5 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mostly focused and reasoned analysis throughout.</li> <li>The analysis is supported by effective and well-developed use of legal concepts, principles and rules, key examples, cases and/or statutory authority.</li> </ul> | <b>6–8 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mostly focused and reasoned evaluation of all the relevant issues.</li> <li>Effectively supported by relevant material.</li> <li>Coherent argument.</li> </ul> |
| <b>2</b>     | <b>4–6 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some accuracy but lacks detail in relevant areas.</li> <li>Some knowledge and understanding of mostly appropriate legal concepts, principles and rules, examples, cases and/or statutory authority, and legal terminology.</li> </ul>         | <b>2–3 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some reasoned analysis.</li> <li>The analysis is supported by some partially developed use of legal concepts, principles and rules, examples, cases and/or statutory authority.</li> </ul>                                  | <b>3–5 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some evaluation, reasoned at times, of some of the relevant issues.</li> <li>Supported by some relevant material.</li> <li>Some coherent argument.</li> </ul>  |

| <b>Level</b> | <b>AO1 Knowledge and understanding<br/>12 marks</b>   | <b>AO2 Analysis and application<br/>5 marks</b>  | <b>AO3 Evaluation<br/>8 marks</b>  |
|--------------|---|--|--|
| <b>1</b>     | <b>1–3 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited accuracy.</li> <li>Limited knowledge and understanding of legal concepts, principles and rules, examples, cases and/or statutory authority, and legal terminology.</li> </ul> | <b>1 mark</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited analysis.</li> <li>The analysis is supported by limited use or makes no use of legal concepts, principles and rules, examples, cases and/or statutory authority.</li> </ul> | <b>1–2 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited evaluation of a relevant issue.</li> <li>Limited or no use of relevant material.</li> <li>Limited or no argument.</li> </ul> |
| <b>0</b>     | <b>0 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No creditable content.</li> </ul>   | <b>0 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No creditable content.</li> </ul>  | <b>0 marks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No creditable content.</li> </ul>  |

| Question | Answer   | Marks |
|----------|--|-------|
| 3        | <p><b>Evaluate the rules which apply in cases of negligence where there is more than one possible cause of damage.</b></p> <p>Use Table B to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>AO1 out of 12 marks.<br/>AO2 out of 5 marks.<br/>AO3 out of 8 marks.</p> <p><b>Indicative content</b></p> <p>Responses may include:</p> <p><b>AO1 Knowledge and understanding</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explanation of 'but for' test.</li> <li>• Explanation of the rules governing concurrent wrongdoers/multiple tortfeasors.</li> <li>• Explanation of the rules governing intervening acts.</li> </ul> <p><b>AO2 Analysis and application and AO3 Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Development of the test and underlying policy reasons.</li> <li>• Evaluate the rules governing concurrent wrongdoers/multiple tortfeasors.</li> <li>• Evaluate the rules governing intervening acts.</li> <li>• General evaluation on negligence.</li> </ul> <p>Accept all valid responses.</p> | 25    |
|          | AO1  | 12    |
|          | AO2  | 5     |
|          | AO3  | 8     |

| Question | Answer  | Marks |
|----------|---|-------|
| 4        | <p><b>The tort of private nuisance is no longer necessary as alternative actions are available.</b></p> <p><b>Assess the validity of this statement.</b></p> <p>Use Table B to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>AO1 out of 12 marks.<br/>AO2 out of 5 marks.<br/>AO3 out of 8 marks.</p> <p><b>Indicative content</b></p> <p>Responses may include:</p> <p><b>AO1 Knowledge and understanding</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explain briefly the purpose of private nuisance.</li> <li>• Define private nuisance – unreasonable interference by one person with another person’s enjoyment of their property.</li> <li>• Explain the factors used to determine if the use of property is unreasonable – locality, duration, timing, sensitivity and malice.</li> <li>• Explain the defences and remedies available for private nuisance.</li> </ul> <p><b>AO2 Analysis and application and AO3 Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assess the extent to which negligence can provide an alternative remedy.</li> <li>• Assess any extent to which trespass to land can provide an alternative remedy.</li> <li>• Assess any other alternatives – Human Rights Act 1998 for example.</li> <li>• Assess whether the tort of private nuisance is still necessary.</li> </ul> <p>Accept all valid responses.</p> | 25    |
|          | AO1   | 12    |
|          | AO2   | 5     |
|          | AO3   | 8     |

| Question | Answer   | Marks |
|----------|--|-------|
| 5        | <p><b>The award of a single lump sum payment for damages in tort is not fair to either the claimant or the defendant.</b></p> <p><b>Assess the validity of this statement.</b></p> <p>Use Table B to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>AO1 out of 12 marks.<br/>AO2 out of 5 marks.<br/>AO3 out of 8 marks.</p> <p><b>Indicative content</b></p> <p>Responses may include:</p> <p><b>AO1 Knowledge and understanding</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explain the purpose of damages in tort.</li> <li>• Explain the heads of damages in tort.</li> <li>• Explain the approach taken to the calculation of damages.</li> <li>• Explain the nature of a lump sum payment.</li> </ul> <p><b>AO2 Analysis and application and AO3 Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Analyse the advantages of a lump sum payment.</li> <li>• Analyse the problems associated with a lump sum payment.</li> <li>• Assess the alternatives to a lump sum payment.</li> </ul> <p>Accept all valid responses.</p> | 25    |
|          | AO1  | 12    |
|          | AO2  | 5     |
|          | AO3  | 8     |