



## Cambridge International AS & A Level

---

**BUSINESS**

**9609/33**

Paper 3 Case Study

**May/June 2020**

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 100

---

**Published**

Students did not sit exam papers in the June 2020 series due to the Covid-19 global pandemic.

This mark scheme is published to support teachers and students and should be read together with the question paper. It shows the requirements of the exam. The answer column of the mark scheme shows the proposed basis on which Examiners would award marks for this exam. Where appropriate, this column also provides the most likely acceptable alternative responses expected from students. Examiners usually review the mark scheme after they have seen student responses and update the mark scheme if appropriate. In the June series, Examiners were unable to consider the acceptability of alternative responses, as there were no student responses to consider.

Mark schemes should usually be read together with the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers. However, because students did not sit exam papers, there is no Principal Examiner Report for Teachers for the June 2020 series.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the June 2020 series for most Cambridge IGCSE™ and Cambridge International A & AS Level components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

---

This document consists of **15** printed pages.

### Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

#### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

#### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

#### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

#### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

#### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

#### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

Question	Answer			Marks
1	<b>Analyse the advantages and disadvantages to PAC of country X's exchange rate appreciating against other currencies (lines 12-17).</b>			<b>10</b>
	<b>Level</b>	<b>Knowledge 3 marks</b>	<b>Application 2 marks</b>	<b>Analysis 5 marks</b>
	2	3 marks Good knowledge shown of advantages/disadvantages impacts of them	2 marks Points well applied to the case	4–5 marks Good use of theory/reasoned argument to explain the likely impact advantages/disadvantages
	1	1–2 marks Knowledge shown of advantages/disadvantages impacts of them	1 mark Some application to the case	1–3 marks Some use of theory/reasoned argument to explain the likely impact of advantages/disadvantages
	0	No creditable content		
<i>Note to Examiners: Credit impacts on PAC as a business, not e.g. customers unless link is made with PAC.</i>				
Answers could include:				
<b>Knowledge:</b>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appreciating exchange rate – a country's currency value increasing in terms of other currencies</li> <li>• Explanation of terms, for example appreciation and references to external value/trade</li> <li>• Stronger currency means imports are cheaper and exports more expensive, including 'invisible' exports such as tourism</li> </ul>				
Credit understanding that government may try to use policies that have an impact on exchange rates				
<b>Application</b>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Advantages – imports cheaper (pizza vans)</li> <li>• Disadvantages – PAC cannot benefit from country X being seen as a 'low cost' country as more expensive for tourists now, impact on sales</li> </ul>				
<b>Analysis</b>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reasoned chain of argument, e.g. If country X is not seen as a 'low cost' country then may lead to fewer tourists, less potential revenue and profit</li> <li>• Impact of decrease in import prices, e.g. imported equipment (pizza vans?) ability to plan and not under-estimate costs / finance needed</li> <li>• If country X is comparatively more expensive for tourists than other countries in the same region, even more likely to lose tourism business</li> </ul>				

Question	Answer	Marks
2(a)	<b>Refer to Appendix 1 and any other information. Calculate the:</b>	
2(a)(i)	<p><b>return on capital employed for PAC in 2019.</b></p> <p>ROCE = Operating profit/capital employed × 100 (1 mark)</p> <p>2019 <math>5.2 - (1.3 + 1.5 + 0.7 + 1.1)/10 = 0.6/10 \times 100 = 6\%</math> (3 marks)</p> <p>Wrong figure, e.g. wrong year 2020 <math>5.3 - (1.5 + 1.7 + 0.8 + 1.1)/10 = 0.2/10 \times 100 = 2\%</math> (2 marks)</p> <p>% sign required.</p>	<b>3</b>
2(a)(ii)	<p><b>Forecast the gearing ratio in 2020 assuming the four pizza vans are purchased using a bank loan.</b></p> <p>Gearing = non-current (long term) liabilities/capital employed × 100 (1 mark)</p> <p>2020 <math>4.8m + 0.2m (4 \times 50,000)/10m + 0.2m = 5m/10.2m = 49\%</math> (3 marks)</p> <p>No % sign or incorrect decimal position max 2 marks.</p>	<b>3</b>

Question	Answer				Marks
2(b)	<b>Refer to your answers to 2(a) and any other information. Discuss whether PAC is right to finance the pizza van project using a bank loan.</b>				<b>12</b>
	<b>Level</b>	<b>Knowledge 2 marks</b>	<b>Application 2 marks</b>	<b>Analysis 4 marks</b>	<b>Evaluation 4 marks</b>
	2	2 marks Good knowledge shown of factors	2 marks Points well applied to the case	3–4 marks Good use of theory/reasoned argument to explain the reasons for using different sources of finance.	3–4 marks Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion.
	1	1 mark Knowledge shown of factors	1 mark Some application to the case	1–2 marks Some use of theory/reasoned argument to explain the reasons for using different sources of finance.	1–2 marks Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion.
	0	No creditable content			
<p><i>Note to Examiners: if only use results of 2(a) or similar information, max L1 A and E. OFR applies for results of 2(a).</i></p>					
<p>Answers could include:</p>					
<p><b>Knowledge</b></p>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Knowledge of different sources of finance – bank loan, leasing, retained profit (if any?), additional finance from family?</li> <li>• Knowledge of importance of gearing / reliance on bank loans</li> <li>• General reasons for favouring particular sources of finance</li> <li>• Depreciation of vehicles is usually fast</li> </ul>					
<p><b>Application</b></p>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Comparison of figures from Appendix 1, use of results</li> <li>• Profit margins 2019 – <math>0.6/5.2 \times 100 = 11.54\%</math> 2020 <math>0.2/5.3 \times 100 = 3.77\%</math></li> <li>• Gross profit margins so 2019 75% and 2020 71.7%</li> <li>• Gio's concerns – would another bank loan and repayments put further pressure on falling profit margins?</li> <li>• Gearing would be nearly 50% (highly geared)</li> </ul>					

Question	Answer	Marks
2(b)	<p><b>Analysis</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Impact on shareholders/family</li><li>• Financial implications, repayments versus alternatives, e.g. leasing</li><li>• Other factors developed, e.g. cost of depreciation of vans versus length of borrowing period</li><li>• If pizza van project has potential to improve falling margins then maybe worth the risk of taking on extra borrowing</li></ul> <p><b>Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Balance of evidence – best source?</li><li>• Is leasing an option?</li><li>• Accuracy of forecast data?</li><li>• Liquidity of PAC?</li><li>• What might happen without the pizza van investment?</li></ul>	

Question	Answer				Marks																				
3	<p><b>PAC requires a marketing strategy for its new ‘healthy life’ menu. Evaluate the importance of social media and other online methods of promotion to this strategy.</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="300 383 1332 1014"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="300 383 421 483">Level</th> <th data-bbox="421 383 606 483">Knowledge 2 marks</th> <th data-bbox="606 383 801 483">Application 2 marks</th> <th data-bbox="801 383 1083 483">Analysis 6 marks</th> <th data-bbox="1083 383 1332 483">Evaluation 6 marks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="300 483 421 714" style="text-align: center;">2</td> <td data-bbox="421 483 606 714">2 marks Good knowledge shown</td> <td data-bbox="606 483 801 714">2 marks Points well applied to the case</td> <td data-bbox="801 483 1083 714">4–6 marks Good use of theory/reasoned argument to explain the likely importance</td> <td data-bbox="1083 483 1332 714">4–6 marks Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="300 714 421 945" style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td data-bbox="421 714 606 945">1 mark Knowledge shown</td> <td data-bbox="606 714 801 945">1 mark Some application to the case</td> <td data-bbox="801 714 1083 945">1–3 marks Some use of theory/reasoned argument to explain likely importance</td> <td data-bbox="1083 714 1332 945">1–3 marks Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="300 945 421 1014" style="text-align: center;">0</td> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="421 945 1332 1014" style="text-align: center;">No creditable content</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Level	Knowledge 2 marks	Application 2 marks	Analysis 6 marks	Evaluation 6 marks	2	2 marks Good knowledge shown	2 marks Points well applied to the case	4–6 marks Good use of theory/reasoned argument to explain the likely importance	4–6 marks Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion	1	1 mark Knowledge shown	1 mark Some application to the case	1–3 marks Some use of theory/reasoned argument to explain likely importance	1–3 marks Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion	0	No creditable content				16
Level	Knowledge 2 marks	Application 2 marks	Analysis 6 marks	Evaluation 6 marks																					
2	2 marks Good knowledge shown	2 marks Points well applied to the case	4–6 marks Good use of theory/reasoned argument to explain the likely importance	4–6 marks Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion																					
1	1 mark Knowledge shown	1 mark Some application to the case	1–3 marks Some use of theory/reasoned argument to explain likely importance	1–3 marks Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion																					
0	No creditable content																								
<p>Answers could include:</p>																									
<p><b>Knowledge</b></p>																									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social media (ecommerce) and other internet-based methods of marketing, e.g. website</li> <li>• Links with gaining new customers and retaining loyal ones - customer relationship marketing (CRM)</li> <li>• Marketing strategy, including budget, objectives, integrated marketing mix</li> <li>• Use of social media / apps for ordering / place element</li> </ul>																									
<p><b>Application</b></p>																									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PAC are involved in very competitive fast food industry / need to keep up with competitors’ use of social media and keep customers loyal (CRM)</li> <li>• Need to communicate new ‘healthy menu’ options to existing and new customers / offer launch promotions</li> <li>• Are pizzas reaching lifecycle maturity?</li> <li>• References to cost of web-based promotion versus traditional</li> <li>• References to reasons why customers (local and tourists) may find web-based promotion and communication easy</li> <li>• Specific ‘build a pizza’ App ideas</li> <li>• Alice is an ICT graduate so has the necessary skills</li> <li>• Target market is young professionals and they are likely to use social media</li> </ul>																									

Question	Answer	Marks
3	<p><b>Analysis</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Development of why social media may be important or not – will it attract more customers? How might this improve competitiveness?</li> <li>• How will the use of social media affect different aspects of marketing strategy, not just marketing mix?</li> <li>• Possible impacts on company image and reputation</li> </ul> <p><b>Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Future use and the need to keep up with new developments/technology</li> <li>• Importance of maintaining and updating the marketing strategy</li> <li>• Judgement as to how important social media may be – what else may be more important?</li> <li>• Possible groups of customers who may be missed by concentration on social media, what percentage are the 'social media generation'?</li> <li>• Might social media also have disadvantages in this case?</li> </ul>	

Question	Answer	Marks
4(a)	<p><b>Refer to Appendix 2. Calculate the forecast net present value (NPV) of the proposed pizza van project over four years.</b></p> <p>Correct answer (000s) <math>200 - (65.10 + 60.20 + 63.20 + 59.20) = \text{NPV} = 47.70</math> (\$000) (4 marks)</p> <p>1 error e.g. not taking off purchase price or working it out for 1 van (3 marks) 2 errors e.g. one van and not taking off purchase price (2 marks) Attempt e.g. some use of discount factors (1 mark)</p>	4
4(b)	<p><b>Refer to Appendix 3. Calculate the total contribution of one pizza van for 2021.</b></p> <p>Correct answer <math>\\$7 - \\$2.50 = \\$4.50</math> per customer X 6000 forecasted = \$27 000 (4 marks)</p> <p>1 error e.g. staff and van running costs deducted <math>27\ 000 - \\$15\ 500 = \\$11\ 500</math> (3 marks)</p> <p>Some attempt or correct formula 1–2 marks e.g. Average spend per customer – average cost of raw materials per customer Contribution = (selling price – variable cost) per unit</p>	4



Question	Answer				Marks
4(c)	<b>Refer to your answers to 4(a) and 4(b) and any other information. Discuss whether the pizza van project is likely to improve profitability for PAC.</b>				<b>12</b>
	<b>Level</b>	<b>Knowledge 2 marks</b>	<b>Application 2 marks</b>	<b>Analysis 4 marks</b>	<b>Evaluation 4 marks</b>
	2	2 marks Good knowledge shown of factors	2 marks Points well applied to the case	3–4 marks Good use of theory/reasoned argument to explain why the project may/may not improve profitability	3–4 marks Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion.
	1	1 mark Knowledge shown of factors	1 mark Some application to the case	1–2 marks Some use of theory/reasoned argument to explain why the project may/may not improve profitability	1–2 marks Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion.
	No creditable content				
<p><i>Note to Examiners: if only use results of 4(a)/(b) or similar information, max L1 A and E. OFR applies for results of 4(a)/(b).</i></p>					
<p>Answers could include:</p>					
<p><b>Knowledge</b></p>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meaning of profitability - ability to turn revenue into profit</li> <li>• Meaning of sales forecasting</li> <li>• Meaning of net present value</li> <li>• Meaning of total contribution and importance in profit calculations</li> </ul>					
<p><b>Application</b></p>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reference to and application of results</li> <li>• Reference to Gio's forecasting – does he have the skills?</li> <li>• Reference to other points from the text, including Appendix 1 financial information</li> </ul>					
<p><b>Analysis</b></p>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Incomes are rising so may suggest demand should rise, but promotion will be needed</li> <li>• Analysis of reasons why sales forecasting may/not be accurate</li> <li>• Development of reference to results / comparison of figures with Appendix 1 and comment</li> </ul>					

Question	Answer	Marks
4(c)	<b>Evaluation</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Improve profitability or not?</li><li>• Short/long term?</li><li>• To what extent might sales forecasts prove inaccurate? Why?</li></ul>	

Question	Answer				Marks																				
5	<p><b>Recommend ways in which Paulo might solve the current quality problems in some PAC restaurants. Justify your recommendation.</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="300 349 1332 981"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="300 349 416 450">Level</th> <th data-bbox="416 349 603 450">Knowledge 2 marks</th> <th data-bbox="603 349 790 450">Application 2 marks</th> <th data-bbox="790 349 1070 450">Analysis 6 marks</th> <th data-bbox="1070 349 1332 450">Evaluation 6 marks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="300 450 416 680">2</td> <td data-bbox="416 450 603 680">2 marks Good knowledge shown</td> <td data-bbox="603 450 790 680">2 marks Points well applied to the case</td> <td data-bbox="790 450 1070 680">4–6 marks Good use of theory/reasoned argument to explain possible solutions</td> <td data-bbox="1070 450 1332 680">4–6 marks Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="300 680 416 911">1</td> <td data-bbox="416 680 603 911">1 mark Knowledge shown</td> <td data-bbox="603 680 790 911">1 mark Some application to the case</td> <td data-bbox="790 680 1070 911">1–3 marks Some use of theory/reasoned argument to explain possible solutions</td> <td data-bbox="1070 680 1332 911">1–3 marks Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="300 911 416 981">0</td> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="416 911 1332 981">No creditable content</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="300 1014 1283 1077"><i>Note to Examiners: solutions must be for PAC, if impact on employees then this should be linked to what it may mean for the business.</i></p> <p data-bbox="300 1115 600 1144">Answers could include:</p> <p data-bbox="300 1182 456 1211"><b>Knowledge</b></p> <ul data-bbox="300 1218 863 1429" style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Definition and importance of quality</li> <li>• Link between quality and HR/HRM</li> <li>• Staff turnover</li> <li>• Delegated powers</li> <li>• Link between quality and motivation</li> <li>• Meaning of quality in a service industry</li> </ul> <p data-bbox="300 1464 459 1494"><b>Application</b></p> <ul data-bbox="300 1500 1299 1778" style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PAC restaurant staff are likely to be relatively unskilled</li> <li>• They are working long hours / under pressure / feeling under-valued</li> <li>• Junior staff or those on flexible employment contracts – motivation? Link with quality</li> <li>• Reference to quality issues from the case – bad customer service and what this means in restaurant context</li> <li>• No mention of staff training in the case</li> <li>• Development of reasons for low motivation applied to restaurant</li> </ul> <p data-bbox="300 1814 421 1843"><b>Analysis</b></p> <ul data-bbox="300 1850 1294 2013" style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Possible solutions developed and why these may help to solve the problems. E.g. customer care training may improve customer service as staff aware of expectations, leading to less complaints</li> <li>• Different solutions may apply to different levels of staff and different situations</li> </ul>				Level	Knowledge 2 marks	Application 2 marks	Analysis 6 marks	Evaluation 6 marks	2	2 marks Good knowledge shown	2 marks Points well applied to the case	4–6 marks Good use of theory/reasoned argument to explain possible solutions	4–6 marks Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion	1	1 mark Knowledge shown	1 mark Some application to the case	1–3 marks Some use of theory/reasoned argument to explain possible solutions	1–3 marks Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion	0	No creditable content				16
Level	Knowledge 2 marks	Application 2 marks	Analysis 6 marks	Evaluation 6 marks																					
2	2 marks Good knowledge shown	2 marks Points well applied to the case	4–6 marks Good use of theory/reasoned argument to explain possible solutions	4–6 marks Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion																					
1	1 mark Knowledge shown	1 mark Some application to the case	1–3 marks Some use of theory/reasoned argument to explain possible solutions	1–3 marks Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion																					
0	No creditable content																								

Question	Answer	Marks
5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• How could food poisoning have been prevented?</li><li>• Could ERP software help with staffing, take some pressure off?</li><li>• TQM related solutions</li></ul> <p><b>Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Essential to solve problems as customers may be lost / company could be sued</li><li>• Long term effect of better staff motivation / lower staff turnover</li><li>• Management delegation issues</li><li>• Relationship with management team issues</li><li>• Are some complaints inevitable in a busy restaurant context?</li></ul>	

Question	Answer			Marks
<b>Questions 6 and 7 use the following marking grid.</b>				
Level	Knowledge 3 marks	Application 3 marks	Analysis 4 marks	Evaluation 10 marks
3				7–10 marks Good judgement throughout with well supported conclusion/recommendations focused on the case
2	3 marks Good knowledge shown of factors	3 marks Points well applied to the case	3–4 marks Good use of theory/reasoned argument to explain the advantages and disadvantages or uses	4–6 marks Some judgement shown in the main body of the answer and an attempt to support conclusion. <b>OR</b> Well supported conclusion/recommendation focused on the case.
1	1–2 marks Knowledge shown of factors	1–2 marks Some application to the case	1–2 marks Some use of theory/reasoned argument to explain the advantages and disadvantages or uses.	1–3 marks Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion.  Weakly supported conclusion.
0	No creditable content			

Question	Answer	Marks
6	<p><b>Evaluate the usefulness of the information in Appendix 4 for the directors of PAC as they make the strategic choice between Option 1 and Option 2.</b></p> <p>Answers could include:</p> <p><b>Knowledge</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meaning of strategic choice, strategic analysis as strategic management techniques</li> <li>• SWOT and PEST, Porter’s 5 forces, Boston Matrix, core competencies, vision and mission statements, as strategic analysis techniques when preparing for choice</li> <li>• Ansoff, force field analysis, decision trees as SC techniques</li> </ul> <p><b>Application</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Option 1 is potentially product development, whereas Option 2 market development.</li> <li>• Use of the techniques/factors for two options – Appendix 4 data.</li> <li>• Comparison of options using Appendix 4 and the techniques, e.g. comparison of likely risks/returns</li> </ul> <p><b>Analysis</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How techniques may be used and the advantages and disadvantages</li> <li>• How might SWOT, PEST and other SA techniques be used to find out where the company is now?</li> <li>• Analysis of Appendix 4 in context</li> <li>• Why might techniques, such as e.g. Ansoff’s Matrix, help in comparing the options and making the choice</li> </ul> <p><b>Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Very dynamic nature of this restaurant / fast food market</li> <li>• Other information that could be useful? Limitations, e.g. of predicted probabilities?</li> <li>• Impact of management objectives and attitudes</li> <li>• Long and short term impacts</li> </ul>	20

Question	Answer	Marks
7	<p><b>Evaluate the importance to PAC of contingency planning as part of strategic implementation if Option 2 is chosen.</b></p> <p><i>Note to Examiners: Option 2 is franchising PAC restaurants in countries Y and Z. The reference to contingency planning in the case might be in case of food poisoning caused by restaurant food.</i></p> <p>Answers could include:</p> <p><b>Knowledge</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What is contingency planning?</li> <li>• Difference and link between contingency planning and crisis management</li> <li>• How CP, as part of strategic implementation, fits with strategic choice and analysis</li> </ul> <p><b>Application</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Contingency planning for PAC as above – allow broad interpretations, including problems caused by unreliable franchisees, weather for agricultural produce</li> <li>• How might these risks be assessed?</li> <li>• Comment on problems controlling franchised restaurants from a distance, including lack of knowledge about local conditions</li> <li>• Comment on impact on whole company if serious problem happens, such as food poisoning in one restaurant, resulting in bad publicity</li> </ul> <p><b>Analysis</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How contingency planning may be used and advantages and disadvantages</li> <li>• Predictable versus unpredictable risks</li> <li>• Associated financial implications</li> <li>• Development and impact of Ap points</li> </ul> <p><b>Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Will there need to be a significant change in planning if Option 2 is implemented?</li> <li>• What are the main factors that could lead to successful CP?</li> <li>• Costs versus benefits of CP</li> <li>• Other factors such as external factors that need to be considered.</li> <li>• Not possible to plan for all eventualities</li> </ul>	20