CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

Cambridge International Advanced Subsidiary and Advanced Level

MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2015 series

9084 LAW

9084/21

Paper 2 (Paper 2), maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2015 series for most Cambridge IGCSE®, Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.



	Cambridge International AS/A Level – May/June 2015 9084	21	
(a)	Band 1: Irrelevant answer	[0]	
	Band 2–3: A candidate needs to be selective in choosing the correct part of the source material.	[1–5]	
	 Principle without section – understanding that Khalid cannot be a juror and/or Reference to Juries Act 1974 s1 and/or s10 and Juries Disqualification Act 1984 s1(1) with little or no development 		
	Band 4: Reference to s1 and/or s1(1)(a) and/or s10 with some development of correct sections and application		
	Band 5: Full development of the relevant sections. Conclusion: Khalid is of an age to be a juror and is covered by s1 of the 1974 Act. He is outside s1(1) of the 1984 Act as he received his sentence over 10 years ago. He is covered by s10 1974 and so is disqualified.	[8–10]	
(b)	Band 1: Irrelevant answer	[0]	
(b)	Band 1: Irrelevant answer Band 2–3: A candidate needs to be selective in choosing the correct part of the source material.	[0] [1–5]	
(b)	Band 2–3: A candidate needs to be selective in choosing the correct part of the		
(b)	 Band 2–3: A candidate needs to be selective in choosing the correct part of the source material. Principle without section – understanding that Yasmin cannot be a juror and/or Reference to Juries Act 1974 s1 and/or Re Tourman Osman (Practice Note) 		

Mark Scheme

Syllabus

Paper

Page 2

1

	Cambridge International AS/A Level – May/June 2015	9084	21
(c)	Band 1: Irrelevant answer		[0]
	Band 2–3: A candidate needs to be selective in choosing the correct part of the source material.		
	 Principle without section – understanding that Nicole can be a juror and/or 		
	 Reference to Juries Act 1974 s1 and/or Schedule 1 with little or no development 		
	Band 4: Reference to s1 and/or Schedule 1 with some development of correct sections and application		
	Band 5: Full development of the relevant sections. Conclusion: Nicole is to be a juror. She is covered by s1 of the 1974 Act as she has lived in the 5 years since the age of 13. She cannot claim to be excused as of right Schedule 1 because she is only training to be a medical practitioner and practising and is not registered, enrolled or certified. This means she cannot claim to be a medical practitioner and practising and is not registered, enrolled or certified.	ne UK for under d is not	[8–10]
(d)	Band 1: Irrelevant answer		[0]
	Band 2: Describes and/or discusses juries in very general terms.		[1–6]
	Band 3: Some more detailed references to juries, perhaps focused on a spects of their role and selection after qualification such as vetting characteristic some general mention of advantages and disadvantages, perhaps rest to one side of the argument or very basic points on both sides of the argument.	llenge. ricted	[7–13]
	Band 4/5: Very good discussion of the jury role and the factors affecting selection after qualification. Some citation needed to reach Band 4. To higher marks need to deal with both parts of the question in detail. Need look at both advantages and disadvantages with good critical awareness linked to the question. May well deal with projected reforms and move a jury trial in certain areas.	reach d to s and	[14–20]

Mark Scheme

Syllabus

Paper

Page 3

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
_	Cambridge International AS/A Level – May/June 2015	9084	21	
2 (a)	Band 1: Irrelevant answer		[0]	
	Band 2–3: A candidate needs to be selective in choosing the correct part of the source material.			
	 Principle without section – understanding that Lily can sue Chen and/or 			
	 Reference to Health and Safety at Work Act 1974 s2(1) and/or (2) v development 	vith little or r	10	
	Band 4: Reference to s2(1) and/or (2)(a) and/or (b) and/or (e) with som development of correct sections and application	ie	[6–7]	
	Band 5: Full development of the relevant sections. Conclusion: Lily will be able to sue Chen. Chen has a duty to Lily under s2 (1). However she does not meet s2 (2). The chemical dyes are not stored safely as the cupboard is unlocked. Lily is given gloves but they are not fit for purpose. Even though she knows she should wear a mask there is not one available.			
(b)	Band 1: Irrelevant answer		[0]	
	Band 2–3: A candidate needs to be selective in choosing the correct pasource material.	art of the	[1–5]	
	 Principle without section – understanding that Wang can sue Chen and/or 			
	 Reference to Health and Safety at Work Act 1974 s2 (1) and/or (2) development 	with little or	no	
	Band 4: Reference to s2 (1) and/or (2) (c) and/or (d) and/or (e) with sor development of correct sections and application	me	[6–7]	
	Band 5: Full development of the relevant sections. Conclusion: Wang v	vill be	[8–10]	

able to sue Chen. Chen has a duty to Wang under s2 (1). However she does not meet s2 (2). Wang has not been properly trained. The machine has not been properly serviced. There should be proper equipment available to deal with a

problem with the machine.

	Cambridge International AS/A Level – May/June 2015	9084	21
(c)	Band 1: Irrelevant answer		[0]
	Band 2–3: A candidate needs to be selective in choosing the correct pathe source material.	art of	[1–5]
	 Principle without section – Chen has not complied with the Health and Safety at work Act and/or 		
	 Reference to Health and Safety at Work Act 1974 s2 (1) and/or (2) with little or no development 	and/or (3)	
	Band 4: Reference to s2 (1) and/or (2) (c) and/or s2 (3) with some development sections and application	elopment of	[6–7]
	Band 5: Full development of the relevant sections. Conclusion: Chen had complied with the Health and Safety at Work Act as although she wrote it is out of date. Emailing a policy may not cover all her employees and have checked this. Telling employees that a new policy is on its way is it there are new risks.	a policy she should	[8–10]
(d)	Band 1: Irrelevant answer		[0]
	Band 2: Describes ADR in very general terms.		[1–6]
	Band 3: General coverage of ADR methods and a basic discussion of effectiveness for all or some of the characters in the scenarios.		[7–13]
	Band 4/5: Very good discussion of a range of ADR methods. To reach marks need to match methods of resolving issues to characters in the s Need for good critical awareness as to the best way to resolve each dis	cenario.	[14–20]

Mark Scheme

Syllabus

Paper

Page 5