

# Cambridge International Examinations

ional Cambridge International Advanced Level

ACCOUNTING 9706/31

Paper 3 Structured Questions

May/June 2016

MARK SCHEME
Maximum Mark: 150

#### **Published**

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2016 series for most Cambridge IGCSE<sup>®</sup>, Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is the registered trademark of Cambridge International Examinations.



Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	31
	al is the amount invested by owners in a trading organisation.		_

[2] the surplus that builds up over a number of years in a club or society. (1)

(b)

Pavey Sports & Social Club – Income and expenditure account for the year ended 31 March 2016.

		\$		\$	
Subscriptions (W1)		·		35 000	(3)of
Life membership				1 250	(1)
Restaurant profit (W2	2)				(5)
				40910	
Administrative expen	ses (W3)	4900 <b>(2</b>	2)		
Depreciation (W4)		<u>9460</u> (2	2)	<u>(14 360)</u>	
Surplus				<u> 26 550</u>	(1)of
W1	Subscrip	tions acco	unt		
5		4.000#	5	,	004
Balance b/d		1000*	Balance b/d		·00*
Income and expendit	ure a/c 3	35 000	Bank	340	000 <b>(1</b> )
Balance c/d		300*	Balance c/d	19	000 (1

W2 Restaurant profit: 17450 - (6950 - 845 + 955) - (5450 + 280)

36300

W3 Administrative expenses 4750 + 350 (1) - 200 (1)

W4 Depreciation: 2560 **(1)** + 6900 **(1)** = 9460

\* (1) for all three. [14]

(c) Variable amount received

Not part of regular income

Maybe allocated to specific projects in the future

#### Any 2 points 1 mark each.

[2]

(d) (i) Sponsorship

Use funds from bank account as well as another source of finance. Debentures

2 marks for each comparison point.

[4]

(ii) 1 mark for decision and 2 marks for justification of the decision based on (d)(i). [3]

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	31

2 (a) Ahmed and Bashmir Memorandum Joint Venture account

	\$	\$	
Revenue (38 000 + 55 500)	·	93500	(1)
Returns inwards		4500	(1)
		89 000	( )
Purchases (24 500 + 17 600)	42 100		(1)
Closing inventory	6500		(1)
<b>,</b>		35600	(-)
Gross profit		53400	
Other income		00 100	
Commissions received		1000	(1)
Discount received		600	(1)
Bioodani rodonyod		55 000	(.,
Expenses (3 200 + 2 300)	5 500	00000	(1)
Irrecoverable debts	300		(1)
incoverable debts		5800	(1)
Profit		49 200	
FIOIIL		49200	
Ahmed (2/3)		32800	1
Bashmir (1/3)		16400	} 1 of both
Dasiiiiii (1/3)			
		<u>49 200</u>	

[9]

(b) Books of Ahmed
Joint venture with Bashmir account

	JOHL	venture v	vitti basiiiiii account		
Purchases – credit	24 500	(1)	Revenue – cash	6000	1414
Returns inwards	4500	(1)	- credit	32000	} 1 both
Expenses	3200	(1)	Commissions	1000	(1)
Profit and loss	32800	(1)OF	Discount received	500	(1)
			Balance c/d	<u>25 500</u>	
	<u>65 000</u>			<u>65 000</u>	
Balance b/d	25 500	(1)OF			

[8]

(c) The balance due from Bashmir would be shown as a current asset under other receivables. (10F)

(d) (i) \$
49200 (1) OF
12500 }
(6500) }(1) both
55200 (1) OF

## Accept alternative answers

[3]

[1]

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	31

### (e) Yes or No (1)

### Max 1 for decision

Reasons for Yes

Made a profit

More customers or business

More experience

Max 2 for reasons

OR

Reasons for No

Tarnish the reputation

Poor choice of business associate

Max 2 for reasons

[3]

### Accept other valid answers.

[Total 25]

### 3 (a)

### Disposal of machinery account

2015		\$	2015		\$	
Jun 1	Machinery (W1)	24 000 <b>(2)</b>	Jun 1	Provision for depreciation of machinery (W2)	19200	(2) OF
Dec 31	Income statement	<u>13 000</u> <b>(1)</b> 37 000		Bank	<u>17 800</u> 37 000	(1)
						[6]
W1	[(17 800 – 13 000)/	2] <b>(1)</b> × 10				

W2 24 000 × 10% (1) × 8

(b)

)		Property \$	Plant and machinery \$	Delivery vans \$	Total \$
	Cost At 1 January 2015 Additions Disposals At 31 December 2015	200 000	258 000 76 000 (1of) (24 000)(1of) 310 000	23 000 23 000	481 000 76 000 (24 000) 533 000
	Depreciation At 1 January 2015 Charge for year Eliminated on disposals At 31 December 2015	17 000 1 000 <b>(1)</b> 18 000	210 000 31 000 <b>(1)</b> (19 200) <b>(1 of)</b> 221 800	10 000 3 250 <b>(1)</b>	237 000 35 250 (19 200) 253 050
	Net book value At 31 December 2015 At 31 December 2014	182 000 183 000	88 200 48 000	<u>9750</u> 13000	279 950 (1of) row 244 000 (1) row

[8]

Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	31

- (c) Matches costs with revenue generated by the assets (1) Non-current assets are not overvalued (1) Profit is not overstated. (1)
- (d) Correct return would be  $(62\,000 39\,000 3000)$  (1) less depreciation 12 000 (1) = 8000 (1) Hence rate of return  $8000/120\,000 \times 100\% = 6.67\%$  (1of)

Since this is less than the existing ROCE the proposal would not increase ROCE. (1) The ROCE calculation uses profit before interest but if debenture interest (\$9 600) (1) is included then there is a loss/negative return (1).

However it may be necessary anyway to replace the machinery because of its age (1) as spare parts may no longer be available (1) and the machinery may be impossible to repair (1). The productivity of the machinery may fall further with time and therefore the balance between costs and revenues would change. (1)

Max 4 for calculations
Max 4 for comments

[8]

Page 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	31

4 (a) (i)  $\frac{Interest}{Profit before interest and tax} \times 100\%$ 

W 
$$\frac{300}{1720} \times 100\% = 17.44\%$$
 (1)

$$R = \frac{180}{1576} \times 100\% = 11.42\% (1)$$

(ii)  $\frac{\text{Net profit}}{\text{No. of shares}}$ 

W 
$$\frac{1103}{4500}$$
 = \$0.25 **(1)**

$$R \frac{1084}{2500} = \$0.43 (1)$$

R 
$$2.75/0.43 = 6.40$$
 (1)

(iv) Divident paid & proposed Market price per share

W 
$$\frac{0.20}{3.50} \times 100\% = 5.71\%$$
 (1)

R 
$$\frac{0.35}{2.75} \times 100\% = 12.73\%$$
 (1)

(v) Profit available for dividend Dividend paid and proposed

W 
$$\frac{1103}{900} = 1.23 \text{ times (1)}$$

R 
$$\frac{1084}{875}$$
 = 1.24 times **(1)**

[10]

Page 7	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	31

(b) Both companies have a lower income gearing (1) than the industry average so there should be no concerns with regard to interest payments (1).

The earnings per share of Ramsey is higher than the industry average (1) while that of Winterbottom is lower so Winterbottom's performance may be a concern (1).

The dividend yield of Winterbottom is much lower (1) than the industry average while that of Ramsey is higher (1) so an investor who seeks short term income would favour Ramsey (1). The dividend cover of both companies is slightly higher than the industry average (1) so although apparently low there should not be major concerns (1).

Ramsey has a lower PE ratio than industry average (1) but PE ratio for Winterbottom is higher which is better (1).

[Max 10]

(c) Investment advice (1)of.(4) of justification marks.

[5]

Page 8	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	31

5 (a) Total labour hours are 1875 standard and 750 superior = 2625 labour hours (1) OAR = 42000 / 2625 = \$16 per hour (1)[2]

(b) (i)

	Standard	Superior	
	\$	\$	
Direct materials22 500 × 5.5	123 750		}
9 000 × 8.5		76 500	<b>)</b> (1)
Direct labour1 875 × 10	18 750		}
750 × 10		7 5 0 0	<b>)</b> (1)
Overheads1 875 × 16	30 000		}
750 × 16		12000	<b>)</b> (1)
Costs	172 500	96 000	(1of)

[4]

(ii)

Standard 224250 / 22500 = \$9.97 (10f) Superior  $124\,800\,/\,9000 = \$13.87$  (1of)

[2]

(c)	(i)		Standard S \$	Superior \$	
		Direct materials	123 750	•	}
				76 500	}
		Direct labour	18 750		}
				7500	}(1of)
		Direct expenses	7 2 0 0	11700	(1)
		Overheads1 875 × 8.8	16 500		}
		750 × 8.8		6600	<b>}</b> (1)
		Costs	<u>166 200</u>	102300	(1of)

[4]

(ii) Number of sweatshirts New sales value 216 060 Selling price per unit

30690 132990 (1of) 14.78 **(1of)** 

[2]

(iii) Change in selling price:

Decrease in Standard \$0.37 (1) OF Increase in Superior \$0.91 (1) OF

[2]

(d) Activity based costing uses cost drivers and cost pools whereas, absorption costing uses direct labour hours or machine hours

49860

9.60

Activity based costing is expensive to set up whereas, absorption costing is easy to set up Activity based costing is more realistic than absorption costing. Absorption costing is more easily understood than activity based costing.

Any three points of comparison 2 marks each.

[6]

Page 9	9 Mark Scheme		Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	31

(e) The change in selling price is not significant in either case. However, the reduction in the selling price of Standard (1) may increase the number of units sold and vice versa for Superior (1)

1 mark for decision Max 2 for comments

[3]

[Total: 25]

- 6 (a) Payback does not consider the time value of money (1) whereas net present value does (1) payback calculates the time it takes to cover the initial cost of the investment and does not consider the net cash flow after the payback period (1) Net present value considers the discounted cash flows for the whole life of the investment (1) [4]
  - (b) Net cash flows:

	unit	inflow	outflow	net	net cash flows
0				(300) <b>(1)</b>	
1	2600	45	24	21 <b>(1)</b>	54 600 <b>(1)of</b> *
2	4500	58.5	30	28.5 <b>(1)</b>	128 250 - 75 000 (1) = 53 250 (1)of*
3	5400	76.05	37.5	38.55 <b>(1)</b>	208 170 <b>(1)of</b>

<sup>\*</sup>for own figure net cash flows must be based on the correct number of units.

(c) Pay back

(d) \$ Net cash flow DF 0 (300000)300 000 1.000 (1) 1 54600 0.877 47884.20 **(1)of** 2 53250 0.769 40949.25 **(1)of** 3 208 170 0.675 140514.75 (1)of (70651.80) (1)of NPV (1)

[6]

[8]

(e) (i) The net cash flow generated over the 3 years is \$16020 (1). This cash can be put to other uses within the business (1).

Production levels have increased up to 5400 from 4000 (1).

This means that the business can increase its market (1) and potentially its profit (1) max

(ii) The managers of Artem Ltd should not purchase the machine (1) as the net present value is negative (1) and the discounted payback is within the life of the asset. (1) This means that the discounted net cash flows do not cover the cost of investment (1) and the present values generated are not enough to cover the initial cost of the investment. (1) max

[1 mark decision]
[Max 1 mark justification]